

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,019	08/27/2003	Toshimasa Shibagaki	1018.1181101	5912	
28075 75	590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	, SEAGER & TUFT	RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL			
1221 NICOLLI SUITE 800	ET AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 2835				

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Amulian-4/->	<del></del>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Office Action Summary		10/649,019	SHIBAGAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Rutland-Wallis	2835				
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2003.					
•—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra laim(s) <u>7</u> is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8,9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected laim(s) <u>3,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application		·					
	e specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[] Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form PTO-152	۷.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s	)	_					
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 lo(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail   S) Notice of Informal   Other:					

Art Unit: 2835

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5-6 and 13-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 5-6 and 13-14 appear to reference a ratio. It cannot be determined by the examiner what this ratio consists of. A ratio is a relationship in quantity and while claims 5 and 13 do recite "a ratio of total time of the request signals in a predetermined time" it is not stated or implied what other quantity other than the total output time defines the ratio.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2835

Claims 1-2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (EP 0 965 710 A2).

With respect to claims 1 and 8 Okada teaches a vehicle lock controller (item 30 or item 68) installed in a vehicle having a plurality of doors (see Fig. 1) to control locking of the doors through communication with a portable device (item 12), the vehicle lock controller comprising: a plurality of transmitting circuits (items 20 and 22), each provided in association with a corresponding one of the doors to output a request signal (see column 2 line 16) and request the portable device to transmit a response signal (see column 2 line 16) also see column 9 lines 5-30 where Okada gives a teaching of transmitting circuits and receiving circuits for the request and response signal correspond to each door. Okada further teaches a control unit (item 26) connected to the transmitting circuits. Okada teaches the signals are intermittently cycled see column 9 lines 19-56 or column lines 14-23 Okada teaches detecting a request signal for a predetermined time before unlocking the associated door. Okada teaches the controller item 68 can be programmed with priority codes see column 19 lines 42-55 and order of the circuits may be predetermined or the transceiver with the highest priority.

With respect to claims 2 and 9 Okada teaches arranging the predetermined cycle of the request signal in transmitting circuits in different orders see column 18 line 46 where the order is stated D, P, P, D, D, P. Okada also teach the arrangement of this order may be arranged to transceiver with the highest priority to reduce battery consumption.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2835

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (EP 0 965 710 A2) in view of Asakura et al. (U.S. Pat. No.6,778,065).

With respect to claims 4 and 12 Okada does not teach the control unit decreases an output intensity of the request signal in transmitting circuits having a lower priority when a voltage value of a battery installed in the vehicle becomes lower than a predetermined threshold value. Asakura teaches the generation of a longer-range signal followed by a shorter less intense signal for actuating the lock/unlock mechanism column 25 lines 30-57. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Okada to use a less intense request signal in order to save battery power.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed. Claims 3, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2835

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Okada teaches the vehicle locking system and method of claims 1 and 8 but does not teach the further limitation to claims 1 and 8 of determining the priority order of the transmitting circuit based how often each door is used.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okada et al. (U.S. Pat. No.6,552,649) teaches the device of claims 1 and 8 but was published later than the EP document cited above, Masudaya (U.S. Pat. No.6,707,375) teaches a device with a plurality of transmitters and a method of prioritization of the transmission of data signals, Morikawa (U.S. Pat. No.6,630,889) teaches a prioritization method similar to that of claims 1 and 8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rutland-Wallis whose telephone number is 571-272-5921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MRW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800